#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

REC'D	05	JAN	2005
WIPO			PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2426-PRO			ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No. PCT/FI 03/00933				International filing date 05.12.2003	(day/mon	y/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 05.12.2002			
1	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K31/415								
	icant ION C	ORF	PORATION et al.			<u>.</u> .		: .	
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining     Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total of	of 5 sheets, including t	his cove	r sheet.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).								
	The	se an	nexes consist of a total of	of sheets.			ı		
	-	<del>-</del>		<u></u>					
3.	This	repo	rt contains indications re	lating to the following i	tems:			•	
	1	$\boxtimes$	Basis of the opinion					•	
	11		Priority				•		
	III	$\boxtimes$	Non-establishment of o	opinion with regard to r	novelty, i	nventive step a	nd industrial applic	ability	
	IV		Lack of unity of invention		••				
	٧	×	Reasoned statement u	nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wons supporting such st	ith regar	d to novelty, in	ventive step or indu	ustrial applicability;	
	VI		Certain documents cite	ed					
	VII		Certain defects in the i	nternational application	า				
	VIII		Certain observations o	n the international app	lication	•			
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report :					ls report:				
02.07.2004				04.01.2005					
Name and mailing address of the International				Authorized Officer					
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office				September 19 E					
D-80298 Munich				Se anmu d	Beeck	<b>,</b> Μ	• •		
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465					Telepho	one <b>No.</b> +49 89 2	399-8473	- A	

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FI 03/00933

I. Basis	of the	report
----------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages	•		1 .			
	1-11		as originally filed					
	Clai	ims, Numbers						
	1-12	2	as originally filed					
	Dra	wings, Sheets			·			
	1/1		as originally filed					
2.	With lang	n regard to the <b>langu</b> guage in which the int	<b>age,</b> all the elements marke ternational application was fi	ed above were availed, unless other	ailable or furnisl wise indicated u	ned to this Authority Inder this item.	in the	
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:							
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the pr	urposes of the int	ernational searc	ch (under Rule 23.1	(b)).	
		the language of pub	lication of the international a	pplication (under	Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the po 3).	urposes of interna	ational prelimina	ry examination (und	ier	
3.	Witl inte	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the nternational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
		contained in the inte	rnational application in writte	en form.	4			
		filed together with th	e international application in	n computer reada	ble form.	•		
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in writte	n form.		•		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in c iished.	computer readable	e form is identic	al to the written seq	uence	
4.	The	he amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description,	pages:				•	
		the claims,	Nos.:		:			
		the drawings,	sheets:		:			

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FI 03/00933

5.		This report has been establis been considered to go beyon	hed as	s if (some of) disclosure as	the amendments has filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	d not been made	e, since th	ey have		
		(Any replacement sheet cont report.)	aining	such amend	lments must be referi	red to under item	n 1 and an	nexed to this		
6.	Add	Additional observations, if necessary:								
Ш	. No	n-establishment of opinion v	vith re	gard to nove	elty, inventive step	and industrial a	applicabil	itv		
	The	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:								
		the entire international applic	ation,							
	×	claims Nos. 11,12								
		because:			·	•				
	×	the said international applicat does not require an internation	tion, or onal pre	the said clai eliminary exa	ms Nos. 11,12 relate mination (specify):	to the following	subject m	atter which		
		see separate sheet	•					•		
		the description, claims or draw that no meaningful opinion co	wings ( ould be	<i>(indicate part</i> formed <i>(spe</i>	ticular elements belot ecify):	w) or said claims	Nos. are	so unclear		
		the claims, or said claims Nos could be formed.	s. are s	o inadequate	ely supported by the	description that	no meanir	ngful opinion		
		no international search report	has be	een establish	ned for the said claim	s Nos.				
2.	or a	eaningful international prelimit mino acid sequence listing to o ructions:	nary ex comply	camination can with the star	annot be carried out on the carried out of the carrier in the carr	due to the failure Annex C of the	of the nu Administra	cleotide and/ ative		
		the written form has not been	furnish	ned or does i	not comply with the S	Standard.		•		
		the computer readable form h	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comp	ly with the Stand	dard.			
V.	Rea cita	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
1.	Stat	ement		•		•	•			
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-12					
	Inve	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-12					
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10 .					
2.	Citat	tions and explanations				•				

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FI 03/00933

see separate sheet

## **SECTION III:**

Claims 11 and 12 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

#### **SECTION V:**

- The subject-matter of the claims is novel. 1)
- 2) The subject-matter of the claims differs from the cited prior art in that the indan ring is substituted at the 6-position by a alkanoyloxy function.
  - Since this was not obvious for the person skilled in the art, the subject-matter of the claims involves an inventive step.
- 3) For the assessment of the present claims 11 and 12 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.